
Frontier Madagascar Environmental Research Series

REPORT 25

**The Effect of Forest Species Degradation on
Avifaunal Diversity and Community
Composition in Dry-Deciduous Forests,
Northern Madagascar**

FRONTIER

CONSERVATION EXPEDITIONS

**Frontier Madagascar
2009**

Report 25

**The Effect of Forest Species Degradation on
Avifaunal Diversity and Community
Composition in Dry-Deciduous Forests,
Northern Madagascar**

**Steer, M.D.
Fanning, E.**

**Tsarakibany
2009**

Suggested Technical paper citation:

Frontier-Madagascar, 2009. Steer, M.D. and Fanning. E.F. *Report 25. The Effect of Forest Species Degradation on Avifaunal Diversity and Community Composition in Dry-Deciduous Forests, Northern Madagascar*, Frontier-Madagascar Environmental Research, Society for Environmental Exploration, UK, and the Institut Halieutique et des Sciences Marines.

The Frontier -Madagascar Environmental Research Report Series is published by:

The Society for Environmental Exploration
50-52 Rivington Street,
London, EC2A 3QP
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)20 7613 3061
Fax: +44 (0)20 7613 2992
E-mail: research@frontier.ac.uk
Web Page: www.frontier.ac.uk

ISSN 1470-120X (Print)
ISSN 1748-3719 (Online)
ISSN 1748-5126 (CD-ROM)

© Frontier-Madagascar 2009

Frontier-Madagascar

Madagascar, the fourth largest islands in the world, is renowned for its high biological and ecological diversity, and is characterised by its high abundance of endemic species. Madagascar is one of the poorest nations in the world and very dependant on the resources the natural environment provides. As a result, conservation and development work is of paramount importance as efforts are made to preserve an environment under pressure from non-sustainable exploitation. Frontier Madagascar is in the process of carrying out baseline survey work in the northern tip of coastal Madagascar, the Antsiranana region, in an effort to provide biological and resource utilisation data for the preparation of sustainable management initiatives for the region.

Institut Halieutique et des Sciences Marines

The Institute Halieutique et des Sciences Marines (IHSM) is part of the University of Toliara in Madagascar. IHSM is a university centre of learning in the field of marine sciences and runs courses for both undergraduate and post graduate students, along with providing consultations to government institutions, NGO's and individuals.

The Society for Environmental Exploration (SEE)

The Society is a non-profit making company limited by guarantee and was formed in 1989. The Society's objectives are to advance field research into environmental issues and implement practical projects contributing to the conservation of natural resources. Projects organised by The Society are joint initiatives developed in collaboration with national research agencies in co-operating countries.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

IHSM (Institut Halieutique et des Sciences Marines)

Man Wai Rabenevanana – Director.
Address: IHSM, Zone Portuaire,
BP 141. Toliara. 601. Madagascar.
Tel: 020 944 3552

Society for Environmental Exploration

50-52 Rivington Street, London, EC2A
3QP.

E-mail: info@frontier.ac.uk

Internet: www.frontier.ac.uk

U.K. Tel: +44 20 76 13 24 22

Fax: +44 20 76 13 29 92

University of Antsiranana

**Abou-Bakary Abd-el-Cader –
Head of Natural Sciences and Environment
department**
Tel: 033 147 6122

Abstract

Avian diversity was measured in two forest fragments in the Ampombofofo region northern Madagascar. The fragments shared many physical characteristics but differed in terms of vegetation species composition, one fragment resembled natural forests while the other exhibited a high degree of anthropogenic alteration of the arboreal species composition. Avian species diversity was low in both fragments and composed mainly of generalist species. No significant differences were found between the avian species composition in the two fragments, suggesting that the forest fragments in the Ampombofofo region of northern are of little value to avian conservation. If the avian species diversity is to be increased within this area, a programme of reforestation needs to be implemented.

Key words: Bird diversity, human disturbance, dry deciduous forest, Madagascar

Acknowledgements

Amber Vater collected the data and carried out preliminary analyses. Elisabeth Wulffeld, Margaret Balaskas and Mark Steer made many helpful comments on previous versions of this manuscript. Thanks also go to Professor J. A. Matthews for help with editing. Particular thanks go to all the field staff and research assistants who have participated in the field and also to the following without which the project would not be possible:

SOCIETY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL EXPLORATION

Managing Director	Mrs Eibleis Fanning
Operations Manager	Mr Kirk Williams

FRONTIER MADAGASCAR

Country Co-ordinator	Felicity Cooper
Assistant Country Co-ordinator	Samiah Kala
	Mark Morris
Research Officers	Amber Vater
	Alex Sharpe
Voluntary Research Officers	Shelly Johnson
	Anthony King
University of Antsiranana	Dahlya

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Study Area and Methods.....	2
3. Results.....	4
4. Discussion.....	6
5. Conclusions.....	7
6. References.....	8

1. Introduction

Madagascar is well known for its high levels of endemism across virtually all taxa. The avifauna is no exception with 51% of breeding species being endemic (Hawkins and Goodman, 2004). However, Madagascar is also famed for its large scale deforestation. Recent rates of forest loss have been relatively low by comparison with other tropical countries; the 1990-1995 deforestation rate was estimated at 0.9% p.a., Brookes *et al.* 2002. However this is predominantly due to high historical rates leaving very low current forest cover (Harper *et al.* 2007). Encroachment into remaining forests by burning, grazing and forestry is evident, even where protection strategies exist (e.g. Hawkins 1990, D’Cruze *et al.* 2006). Clearance strategies such as these are widely recognized for detrimentally affecting species composition for all fauna, particularly due to the resultant loss in canopy cover (e.g. Wilcox 1988; Brook *et al.* 2003; Scott *et al.* 2006).

It is estimated that 97% of Madagascar’s dry deciduous forests have been lost, with few remaining areas exceeding 800 ha (Smith 1997). The paucity of large tracts of dry forest means that it is increasingly important to examine smaller forest fragments in order to assess their potential as islands of habitats and stepping stones between larger remaining patches. The area investigated in this report has substantial evidence of human degradation, as is the case in much of northern Madagascar. Hawkins and Goodman (2004) state that species diversity is poorer in western dry forests compared to other forests and propose secondary dry forests of similar composition to primary dry forests, are able to support a similar species structure even when affected by degradation. However, recent studies of dry forests by D’Cruze and colleagues, among others, have highlighted both their importance for reptile species, and our relative lack of knowledge about these ecosystems (e.g. D’Cruze *et al.* 2006; 2008; Megson *et al.* 2009).

Several recent in-depth studies have investigated the effects of landscape degradation on bird species diversity in Madagascar (e.g. Hino 2002; Watson *et al.* 2004; Watson *et al.* 2005; Scott *et al.* 2006). The majority of these studies concentrate on the southern areas of Madagascar; there has been much less of a focus on the northern dry-deciduous forests. However, Ganzhorn *et al.* (2001) suggest that the most fragmented and degraded ecosystems in Madagascar are the western dry deciduous forests, eastern littoral forests and the central woody formations, and that these forests may be unable to support healthy populations beyond 2020-2040. Watson *et al.* (2004; 2005) concluded that the littoral

forests of eastern Madagascar act as important transitional zones between spiny and humid habitats meaning that the degree of larger scale degradation and species loss to other surrounding forests is affected by the sensitivity of these transitional forests. This increasing fragmentation, resulting in restricted dispersal processes (Bodin and Norberg 2007), is therefore a primary concern to the protection of Madagascan forests. Habitat corridors are valuable conservation tools for the sustainability of fragmented environments by providing natural connectivity between forests (e.g. Beier and Noss 1998). Whether fragments of dry northern forest habitat play a role in the transition of migratory species between larger habitat patches is unknown. It may, therefore, be important to protect such areas for future biodiversity conservation, and is vital to understand the dynamics of species use of these fragments.

Birds are commonly used as indicator species due to their sensitivity to changes in their environment and ease of survey. Numerous endemic bird species are restricted to specific ecosystems and although several are capable of surviving in primary and certain secondary forest fragments, research shows ‘most will not survive if these refuges are diminished much further’ (Hawkins 1990: 96). The decrease of bird diversity can have a severe negative influence in terms of both biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, by limiting fertilization and dispersal processes within the forest (e.g. Chapin *et al.* 2000; Fischer *et al.* 2007).

This report investigates how avian species diversity differs between similarly sized forest fragments of differing composition and levels of degradation. By assessing the diversity of species in highly degraded and more naturalistic forest fragments we aim to test whether the few forest fragments which still persist in unprotected areas of Madagascar can play an important role in the conservation of avian communities.

2. Study Area and Methods

The study site was located in remaining forest fragments was surrounding the small village of Ampombofofo, located 12km north-east of the coastal village of Ambanilakely in northern Madagascar. Previously the area has received little scientific interest (but see D’Cruze *et al.* 2006; Megson *et al.* 2009; Frontier Madagascar 2007).

The annual rainfall in this area is seasonally dependent; the region receives up to 14mm of rainfall per day during the wet season (December to April), which decreases to 1mm per day during the dry season (July average; Jury 2003). Cyclones are frequent throughout the wet season with temperatures reaching up to 31°C (average 28°C). Geologically the region is dominated by sandstone consisting of large areas of unconsolidated sands (Du Puy and Moat 1993; 2003).

Point counts were conducted using the techniques of Bibby *et al.* (1992) as a standard methodology which has been employed in previous Madagascan avifaunal studies (e.g. Watson *et al.* 2004). Point counts were conducted twice daily, between 05:00–09:00 and 15:00–18:00. Strong winds and rainy days were avoided. Five point counts were conducted approximately 100 m apart along transects through each fragment. When possible zebu tracks, natural courses and paths were followed to minimize disturbance. Counts lasted ten minutes at each point and birds encountered within a 25 m radius were included in the survey data. Acoustic data were also used, where applicable, to identify species. Identifications were made according to a range of field guides including Morris and Hawkins (1998), Sinclair *et al.* (2006) and Williams and Arlott (1980).

Two forest fragments of approximately similar size were repeatedly surveyed over a six-month period between July 2007 and December 2007. Ten surveys, comprising five 10-minute point counts, were carried out in each fragment. Habitat data were collected at each of the bird point count sites. The percentage of vegetation cover was estimated and a 10m x 10m quadrat area measured within each quadrat canopy height. Where possible the dominant species (any species that comprises 30% or more of the total of each plot) were identified to genus level. Each fragment was placed into one of two categories, based on the predominant habitat type. The ‘native’ forest fragment was dominated by tree species native to the northern dry forests of Madagascar including *Pachypodium* spp., *Dracaena* sp., *Euphorbia* sp., *Acacia* sp., *Adansonia* sp. and *Norohonia* sp. The ‘degraded’ forest fragment showed greater levels of anthropogenic disturbance and were dominated by introduced species such as *Anacardiaceae manifera* (mango) and *Lantana* sp.

Birds were classified into habitat-use guilds using methods suggested by Hawkins and Goodman (2004), whereby those birds with abundances at least twice as great in the natural forest fragment as in the ‘degraded’ fragment were classified as ‘true’ forest species. For the purpose of this study bird species exhibiting high abundance in both habitat types were classified as ‘common’. Those found in

low abundances in either habitat type, were classified as ‘rare’ (defined as those species observed in less than 10% of the total number of surveys).

Jackknife estimates were used to obtain estimates of species richness within the sample areas (see McCune and Grace, 2002). The estimations are not assumed to be true species richness due to inexperience on the part of the recorder, however, since the same individual collected all the data, the estimates can be used as relative measures of diversity. First-order estimates have greater accuracy on smaller data sets while second-order estimates are more accurate when used with larger samples. The second-order estimate can be smaller than the observed number of species where no species occur at only one site, but many species occur at just two sites. Unlike many species richness estimates the jackknife estimation is not affected by underlying numerical distributions (Magurran 1988). Two-sample t-tests were applied to compare species richness in the ‘native’ and ‘degraded’ fragments.

3. Results

A total of 73 species were identified in total from the region (appendix 2), but only thirty species were encountered during the six point counts (table 1). In the natural forest fragments a total of 25 species were recorded, whereas 26 species were recorded in the ‘degraded’ fragment. The mean number of individuals per survey was 10.9 in the ‘native’ forest and 21.0 in the ‘degraded’ forest, with an average of 2.5 species per survey collected in the ‘native’ forest fragment and 2.9 in the ‘degraded’ fragment. Just four species were recorded solely in the ‘native’ fragment: long-billed greenbul (*Phyllastrephus madagascariensis*), Madagascar malachite kingfisher (*Apus barbatus*), Madagascar pygmy kingfisher (*Ispidina madagascariensis*) and the Madagascar scops owl (*Otus rutilus*). Five species were observed to occur solely in the ‘degraded’ fragment: Madagascar red fody (*Foudia madagascariensis*), Namaqua dove (*Oena capensis*), Madagascar buzzard (*Buteo brachypterus*), Madagascar bush lark (*Mirafra hova*) and the dimorphic egret (*Egretta dimorpha*). Interestingly, the buzzard and fody species are generally known to prefer primary forest habitats (Morris and Hawkins 1998). Several further species which were found in the ‘native’ fragment are not normally described as primary forest dwellers; these include Madagascar buttonquail (*Turnix nigricollis*), Madagascar green pigeon (*Treron australis*), Madagascar bee-eater (*Merops superciliosus*), Madagascar nightjar (*Caprimulgus madagascariensis*), pied crow (*Corvus albus*) and sakalava weaver (*Ploceus sakalava*). These occurrences suggest that the primary forest is used by secondary forest dwelling species and vice versa.

Table 1. Species observed during study. Habitat preference given by Morris and Hawkins (1998) and classification of rare/common species according number of occurrences in surveys. Bold presence/absence data shows those species occurring in unexpected habitat according to Morris and Hawkins (1998). Habitat preferences: PF – primary forest; SC – secondary forest; Sc – scrubland; O – open grassland habitats; I – inhabited areas

Species	Common name	Primary	Secondary	True forest species	Habitat preference	Rare/common
<i>Egretta dimorpha</i>	Dimorphic Egret	N	Y	N	O	Rare
<i>Buteo brachypterus</i>	Madagascar Buzzard	N	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Turnix nigricollis</i>	Madagascar Buttonquail	Y	Y	Y	SF	Common
<i>Streptopelia picturata</i>	Madagascar Turtle dove	Y	Y	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Oena capensis</i>	Namaqua Dove	N	Y	N	O	Rare
<i>Treron australis</i>	Madagascar Green Pigeon	Y	Y	Y	SF	Common
<i>Cuculus rochii</i>	Madagascar Lesser Cuckoo	Y	Y	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Coua cristata</i>	Crested Coua	Y	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Centropus toulou</i>	Madagascar Coucal	Y	Y	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Otus madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Scops Owl	Y	N	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Caprimulgus madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Nightjar	Y	Y	N	O	Common
<i>Alcedo vintsioides</i>	Madagascar Malachite Kingfisher	Y	N	Y	PF SF	Rare
<i>Ispidina madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Pygmy Kingfisher	Y	N	N	PF SF	Rare
<i>Merops superciliosus</i>	Madagascar Bee-eater	Y	Y	N	O	Common
<i>Upupa marginata</i>	Madagascar Hoopoe	Y	Y	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Mirafra hova</i>	Madagascar Bush lark	N	Y	N	O	Rare
<i>Hypsipetes madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Bulbul	Y	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Copsychus albospecularis</i>	Madagascar Magpie Robin	Y	Y	Y	PF SF	Common
<i>Newtonia Brunneicauda</i>	Common Newtonia	Y	Y	N	PF Sc	Common
<i>Neomaxis tenella</i>	Common Jery	Y	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Terpsiphone mutata</i>	Madagascar Paradise Flycatcher	Y	Y	Y	PF	Common
<i>Nectarinia souimanga</i>	Souimanga Sunbird	Y	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Vanga curvirostris</i>	Hook Billed Vanga	Y	Y	N	PF SF Sc	Common
<i>Falcula palliate</i>	Sickle Billed Vanga	Y	Y	N	PF	Common
<i>Leptopterus chabert</i>	Charberts Vanga	Y	Y	N	PF SF Sc	Common
<i>Dicrurus forficatus</i>	Crested Drongo	Y	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Ploceus sakalava</i>	Sakalava Weaver	Y	Y	N	O I	Rare
<i>Foudia madagascariensis</i>	Red Fody	N	Y	N	PF SF	Common
<i>Hypsipetes madagascariensis</i>	Long Billed Greenbul	Y	N	Y	PF SF	Rare
<i>Corvus albus</i>	Pied Crow	Y	Y	N	O I	Common

Using Hawkins and Goodman's (2004) method, eleven species may be classified as true forest species (Table 1). Rare species (those found in less than 10% of surveys) are also given, however these tend to highlight those of low abundances rather than true rare species.

First order jackknife estimates suggest the observed species richness observed in the 'native' fragment was relatively low (25.3 species estimated, 21 species observed) but the observed and predicted results for the 'degraded' forest are very similar (26.6 species expected and 27 observed). The differences in observed species richness between the two sites were not significantly different ($t = 1.314$, $df = 1$, $p = 0.414$).

4. Discussion

The two fragments studied were chosen to resemble each other in terms of physical characteristics such as tree density, canopy cover, size and aspect. The major difference between the two fragments lay in the vegetational species composition. The vegetation in the 'native' fragment resembled that assumed to be the natural forest community, whereas the 'degraded' fragment had been highly modified by the anthropogenic introduction of non-native tree species.

True forest species, as categorised by Morris and Hawkins (1998; table 1) were not well represented in these surveys. Madagascar paradise flycatchers (*Terpsiphone mutata*) were observed in both the native and degraded fragments, whereas Morris and Hawkins (1998) indicate they are usually confined to primary forests. Madagascar nightjars, pied crows, sakalava weavers and Madagascar bee-eaters were also observed in both the native and degraded fragments, whereas they are usually characterised as inhabitants of open rather than forested areas (Morris and Hawkins 1998).

No significant differences were observed between the two fragments in terms of species richness. As the two fragments are so similar, it appears as though there has either been no loss after local scale degradation of the habitat or that the majority of loss has already occurred in both fragments, with both now only being utilised by mainly generalist species. Large scale regional degradation is possibly responsible for the alteration of the natural composition of bird species in the fragment which more closely resembled the natural vegetation community of the area. The fragment was considerably less diverse than other nearby larger tracts of primary forests such as Montagne d'Ambre (ANGAP species

list, personal communication), and lacked true dependant forest species such as vasa parrots (*Coracopsis* spp.). This suggests that current connectivity found in the Ampombofofo region is not sufficient to maintain subpopulations of forest specialist bird species in relatively natural forest fragments.

Species diversity was low. This is likely to be due, in part, to the sampling effort missing rarer species. However, generally, it seems that the area has already suffered species loss from degradation and is now unable to support true forest or specialised species because of the effects of degradation. Hawkins and Goodman (2004) estimate that only 15% of Madagascan terrestrial species are capable of surviving in open habitats, supporting the findings of this study; that species diversity is low in ‘degraded’ habitats.

5. Conclusions

The two fragments, differing predominantly only in vegetation species composition, showed no significant difference in the bird diversities they supported. The two fragments shared common, non-specialist species which are able to adapt to general conditions in forest structure, and thrive within a habitat matrix. With the lack of true, specialised species in both forest areas, it would be unexpected that these species would be vulnerable to continued forest degradation; primarily because the majority of species have already been lost from the area. Therefore, continued degradation is unlikely to cause any major loss of species in the native forest fragments.

The lack of governmental protection, in addition to the remaining fragments of forest being heavily relied on as a source of food and timber by local communities, means future reforestation does not look promising in this region. This area is likely to become more degraded and lose any remaining true forest and endemic species which will contribute to a larger national scale loss in diversity if degradation rates continue across the country. If the avian species diversity is to be increased within this area, a programme of reforestation needs to be implemented.

6. References

- Begon, M., Harper, J.L. and Townsend, C.R. 1996. *Ecology: Individuals, Populations, and Communities*, 3rd edition. Blackwell Science Ltd, Cambridge, MA
- Beier, P. and Noss, R.F. 1998. Do Habitat Corridors Provide Connectivity? *Conservation Biology* **12**: 355-366
- Bibby, C.J., Burgess, N.D. and Hill, D.A. 1992. *Bird census techniques*, Academic Press, London.
- Bodin, Ö. and Norberg, J., 2007. A network approach for analyzing spatially structured populations in fragmented landscapes. *Landscape Ecology* **22**: 33-44
- Brook, B.W., Sodhi, N.S. & Ng, P.K.L. (2003). Catastrophic extinctions follow deforestation in Singapore. *Nature* **424**: 420–426.
- Brookes, T.M., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., Da Fonseca, G.A.B., Rylands, A.B., Konstant, W.R., Flick, P., Pilgrim, J., Oldfield, S., Magin, G. and Hilton-Taylor, C. 2002. Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity. *Conservation Biology* **16**: 909-923
- Chapin, F. S. III, Zavaleta, E. S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L., Hooper, D. U., Lavorel, S., Sala, O. E., Hobbie, S. E., Mack, M. C., & Diaz, S. 2000. Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature* **405**: 234– 242.
- D'Cruze, N.C., K.E. Green, J.E. Robinson, and C.J. Gardner. 2006. A rapid assessment of the amphibians and reptiles of an unprotected area of dry deciduous forest in north Madagascar. *The Herpetological Bulletin* **96**:17-25.
- D'Cruze, N.C., Köhler, J., Franzen, M. and Glaw, F. 2008. A conservation assessment of the amphibians and reptiles of the Forêt d'Ambre Special Reserve, North Madagascar. *Herpetological Bulletin* **3**(1): 44-54.

Du Puy, D.J. and Moat, J. 1996. A refined classification of the primary vegetation of Madagascar based on the underlying geology: using GIS to map its distribution and to assess its conservation status. In: Louren, S.L. (ed.) *Proceedings of the International Symposium on the Biogeography of Madagascar*. pp 205-218. Editions del'ORSTOM, Paris

Du Puy, D.J. and Moat, J. 2003. Using geological substrate to identify and map primary vegetation types in Madagascar and the implications for planning biodiversity conservation. In: Goodman SM and Benstead, J.P. (eds) *The Natural History of Madagascar*. pp 57-74. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Fischer, J., Lindenmayer, D.B. & Manning, A.D. 2006. Biodiversity, ecosystem function and resilience: ten guiding principles for commodity production landscapes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **4**: 80–86.

Frontier Madagascar (2007) Mitchell P, Eaton S, Marsh C, Fanning E and Weaver D (eds). Biological and socio-economic research of the dry deciduous forests of Ampombofofo, Madagascar. *Frontier-Madagascar Environmental Research Report 13*. Society for Environmental Exploration, UK and the Institute Halieutique et des Sciences Marines.

Ganzhorn, J.U., Lowry II, P.P., Schatz, G.E. and Sommer, S. 2001. The biodiversity of Madagascar: one of the world's hottest hotspots on its way out. *Oryx* **35**(4): 346-348.

Goodman, S.M. and Benstead, J.P. 2003. *The Natural History of Madagascar*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Harper, G., Steininger, M., Tucker, C., Juhn, D., Hawkins, F. 2007. Fifty years of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. *Env Cons* **34**: 325–333.

Hawkins, A.F. 1990. Vertebrate conservation in Ankarana special reserve, Northern Madagascar *Biological Conservation* **54**: 83-110.

Hawkins, A.F. and Goodman, S.M. 2004. Introduction to the birds. In: Goodman, S.M. and Benstead,

J.P. (eds) *The Natural History of Madagascar*, pp1019-1044. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Hino, T. 2002. Breeding bird community and mixed-species flocking in a deciduous broad-leaved forest in western Madagascar. *Ornithological Science* **1**: 111-116

Jury, M.R. 2003. The Climate of Madagascar. In: Goodman, S.M. and Benstead, J.P. (eds) *The Natural History of Madagascar*, pp1019-1044. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Kent, M. 2006. Numerical classification and ordination methods in biogeography. *Progress in Physical Geography* **30**: 399-408.

McCune, B. and Grace, J.B. 2002. *Analysis of Ecological Communities*, MjM Software Design, Oregon

Magurran, A.E. 1988. *Ecological Diversity and its Measurement*, Croom Helm, London

Megson, S., Mitchell, P., Köhler, J., Marsh, C., Franzen, M., Glaw, F., D'Cruze, N.C. (2009) A comprehensive survey of amphibians and reptiles in the extreme north of Madagascar. *Herpetology Notes* **2**: 31-44

Morris, P. and Hawkins, F. 1998. *Birds of Madagascar: A photographic guide*, Pica Press, East Sussex

Scott, D.M., Brown, D., Mahood, S., Denton, B., Silburn, A. and Rakotondraparany, F. 2006. The impacts of forest clearance on lizard, small mammal and bird communities in the arid spiny forest, southern Madagascar. *Biological Conservation* **127**: 72-87.

Sinclair, I., Langrand, O. and Andriamialisoa, F. 2006. *A photographic guide to the birds of the Indian Ocean Islands, Madagascar, Mauritius, Seychelles, Réunion and the Comoros*, Struik Publishers, Cape Town

Smith, A.P. 1997. Deforestation, fragmentation, and reserve design in western Madagascar. In: Lawrence, W., and Bierregaard, O.W. (eds) *Tropical Forest Remnants, Ecology, Management and Conservation of Fragmented Communities*. pp 415-441. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Rosenzweig, M.L. 1995. *Species Diversity in Space and Time*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Watson, J.E.M., Whittaker, R.J. and Dawson, T.P. 2004. Avifaunal responses to habitat fragmentation in the threatened littoral forests of south-eastern Madagascar. *Journal of Biogeography* **31**: 1791-1807.

Wilcox, B.: 1988, 'Tropical Deforestation and Extinction', in International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, *IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals*, IUCN, Cambridge, p. ix.

Williams, J.G. and Arlott, N. 1980. *A Field Guide to the Birds of East Africa*, Williams Collins Sons & Co. Ltd, Glasgow.

Appendix 1. Complete species list of birds observed during MGF study in Ampombofofo (including species encountered during point counts and general observations). *species encountered during the surveys used for this study

Species	Vernacular Name
<i>Phaeton lepturus</i>	White-tailed Tropicbird
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Black-crowned Night Heron
<i>Ardeola idea</i>	Madagascar Squacco Heron
<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	Cattle Egret
<i>Egretta dimorpha</i>	Dimorphic Egret*
<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Grey Heron
<i>Dendrocygna viduata</i>	White-faced Whistling Duck
<i>Macheiranphus alcinus</i>	Bat Hawk
<i>Buteo brachypterus</i>	Madagascar Buzzard*
<i>Falco newtoni</i>	Madagascar Kestrel
<i>Numida meleagris</i>	Helmeted Guineafowl
<i>Turnix nigricollis</i>	Madagascar Buttonquail*
<i>Dryolimnas cuvieri</i>	White-throated Rail
<i>Rostratula benghalensis</i>	Greater Painted Snipe
<i>Himantopus himantopus</i>	Black-winged Stilt
<i>Dromas ardeola</i>	Crab Plover
<i>Glareola ocularis</i>	Madagascar Pratincole
<i>Charadrius pecuarius</i>	Kittlitz's Plover
<i>Charadrius tricollaris</i>	Three-banded Plover
<i>Charadrius marginatus</i>	White-fronted Plover
<i>Charadrius leschenaultia</i>	Greater Sand-plover
<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>	Grey Plover
<i>Numenius phaeopus</i>	Whimbrel
<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Common Sandpiper
<i>Arenaria interpres</i>	Ruddy Turnstone
<i>Sterna caspia</i>	Caspian Tern
<i>Sterna bengalensis</i>	Lesser Crested Tern
<i>Streptopelia picturata</i>	Madagascar Turtle Dove*
<i>Oena capensis</i>	Namaqua Dove*
<i>Treron australis</i>	Madagascar Green Pigeon*
<i>Agapornis cana</i>	Grey-headed Lovebird
<i>Cuculus rochii</i>	Madagascar Lesser Cuckoo*
<i>Coua cristata</i>	Crested Coua*
<i>Centropus toulou</i>	Madagascar Coucal*
<i>Otus madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Scops Owl*
<i>Caprimulgus madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Nightjar*
<i>Apus barbatus</i>	African Black Swift
<i>Alcedo vintsioides</i>	Madagascar Malachite Kingfisher*
<i>Ispidina madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Pygmy Kingfisher*
<i>Merops superciliosus</i>	Madagascar Bee-eater*
<i>Eurostomus glaucurus</i>	Broad-billed Roller
<i>Upupa marginata</i>	Madagascar Hoopoe*
<i>Mirafra hova</i>	Madagascar Bush Lark*
<i>Phedina borbonica</i>	Mascarene Martin

Species	Vernacular Name
<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	Barn Swallow
<i>Motacilla flaviventris</i>	Madagascar Wagtail
<i>Hypsipetes madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Bulbul*
<i>Bernieria madagascariensis</i>	Long-billed Tetraka
<i>Copsychus albospecularis</i>	Madagascar Magpie-robin*
<i>Newtonia Brunneicauda</i>	Common Newtonia*
<i>Cisticola cherina</i>	Madagascar Cisticola
<i>Neomaxis tenella</i>	Common Jery*
<i>Terpsiphone mutata</i>	Madagascar Paradise Flycatcher*
<i>Nectarinia souimanga</i>	Souimanga Sunbird*
<i>Vanga curvirostris</i>	Hook-billed Vanga*
<i>Falcoea palliate</i>	Sickle-billed Vanga*
<i>Leptopterus chabert</i>	Chabert's Vanga*
<i>Cyanolanius madagascariensis</i>	Blue Vanga
<i>Dicrurus forficatus</i>	Crested Drongo*
<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	Common Mynah
<i>Ploceus sakalava</i>	Sakalava Weaver*
<i>Foudia madagascariensis</i>	Madagascar Red Fody*
<i>Lonchura nana</i>	Madagascar Mannikin
<i>Sula leucogaster</i>	Brown Booby
<i>Egretta ardesiaca</i>	Black Egret
<i>Dendrocygna bicolor</i>	Fulvous Whistling Duck
<i>Amaurimnis olivieri</i>	Sakalava Rail
<i>Numenius arquata</i>	Eurasian Curlew
<i>Coracopsis nigra</i>	Lesser Vasa Parrot
<i>Hypsipetes madagascariensis</i>	Long-billed Greenbul*
<i>Corvus albus</i>	Pied Crow*
<i>Phyllastrephus apperti</i>	Appert's Greenbul
<i>Coracina cinerea</i>	Cuckoo Shrike